arXiv:1002.1509v2 [math.RT] 20 Mar 2010

CRYSTAL BASES OF MODIFIED QUANTIZED ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS AND A DOUBLE RSK CORRESPONDENCE

JAE-HOON KWON

Abstract. The crystal base of the modified quantized enveloping algebras of type $A_{+\infty}$ or A_∞ is realized as a set of integral bimatrices. It is obtained by describing the decomposition of the tensor product of a highest weight crystal and a lowest weight crystal into extremal weight crystals, and taking its limit using a tableaux model of extremal weight crystals. This realization induces in a purely combinatorial way a bicrystal structure of the crystal base of the modified quantized enveloping algebras and hence its Peter-Weyl type decomposition generalizing the classical RSK correspondence.

1. Introduction

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized enveloping algebra associated with a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In [15], Lusztig introduced the modified quantized enveloping algebra $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_\Lambda U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\Lambda$, where Λ runs over all integral weight for $\mathfrak{g}$, and proved the existence of its global crystal base or canonical basis. In [8], Kashiwara studied the crystal structure of $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in detail, and showed that

$$\mathbf{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\Lambda) \simeq \mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty),$$

where $\mathbf{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\Lambda)$ denotes the crystal base of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\Lambda$, $\mathbf{B}(\pm\infty)$ is the crystal base of the negative (resp. positive) part of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and T_Λ is a crystal with a single element t_Λ with $\varepsilon_i(t_\Lambda) = \varphi_i(t_\Lambda) = -\infty$. It is also shown that the anti-involution $*$ on $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ provides the crystal $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) = \bigsqcup_\Lambda \mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty)$ with another crystal structure called $*$-crystal structure and therefore a regular $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$-bicrystal structure [8]. With respect to this bicrystal structure, a Peter-Weyl type decomposition for $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ was obtained when it is of finite type or affine type at non-zero levels by Kashiwara [8] and of affine type at level zero by Beck and Nakajima [1] (see also [17, 18] for partial results). It is also shown in [8] that the crystal graph of the quantized coordinate ring for $\mathfrak{g}$ [7] is a subcrystal of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$, and equal to $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of finite type.

The main purpose of this work is to study the crystal structure of the modified quantized enveloping algebras in type A of infinite rank in terms of Young tableaux and understand its connection with the classical RSK correspondence. Note that the essential ingredient for understanding the structure of $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the notion of extremal weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module introduced by Kashiwara [8]. An extremal weight module associated with an integral weight λ is an integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module, which is a generalization of a highest weight and a lowest weight module, and it also has a (global) crystal base. Our approach is based on the combinatorial models for extremal weight crystals of type $A_{+\infty}$ and A_∞ developed in [12, 13].

From now on, we denote $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ when it is a general linear Lie algebra of type $A_{+\infty}$ and A_∞, respectively. The main result in this paper gives an explicit combinatorial realization of $\mathbf{B}(\infty)\otimes T_\Lambda\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\infty)$ for all integral $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-weights and all level zero integral $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-weights Λ as a set of certain bimatrices, which implies directly Peter-Weyl type decompositions of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}))$ and the level zero part of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty))$ without using the $*$-crystal structure.

Let us state our results more precisely. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ matrices with finitely many non-negative integral entries. Recall that $\mathcal{M}$ has a $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystal structure where each row of a matrix in $\mathcal{M}$ is identified with a single row Young tableau or a crystal element associated with the symmetric power of the natural representation (cf.[3]). Let $\mathcal{M}^\vee=\{\,M^\vee\,|\,M\in\mathcal{M}\,\}$ be the dual crystal of $\mathcal{M}$. For each integral weight Λ, let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Lambda=\{\,M^\vee\otimes N\,|\,\mathrm{wt}(N^t)-\mathrm{wt}(M^t)=\Lambda\,\}\subset\mathcal{M}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M}.$$

Here wt denotes the weight with respect to $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystal structure and A^t denotes the transpose of $A\in\mathcal{M}$. Then our main result (Theorem 5.5) is

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Lambda\simeq\mathbf{B}(\infty)\otimes T_\Lambda\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\infty).$$

Note that a connected component of $\mathbf{B}(\infty)\otimes T_\Lambda\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\infty)$ is in general an extremal weight $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystal, and an extremal weight $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystal is isomorphic to the tensor product of a lowest weight crystal and a highest weight crystal [12]. The crucial step in the proof is the description of the tensor product $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda')\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\Lambda'')$ for dominant integral weights Λ', Λ'' with $\Lambda=\Lambda'-\Lambda''$ in terms of skew Young bitableaux (Proposition 5.1), and its embedding into $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda'+\xi)\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\xi-\Lambda'')$ for arbitrary integral dominant weight ξ (Proposition 5.4). In fact, $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda'+\xi)\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\xi-\Lambda'')$ is realized as a set of skew Young bitableaux whose shapes are almost horizontal strips as ξ goes to infinity. This establishes the above isomorphism and as a consequence

$$\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}))\simeq\mathcal{M}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M},$$

since $\bigsqcup_\Lambda\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Lambda=\mathcal{M}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M}$.

Now, for partitions μ,ν, let $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,\nu}$ be the extremal weight crystal with the Weyl group orbit of its extremal weight corresponding to the pair (μ,ν). Note that $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,\emptyset}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_{\emptyset,\nu}$) is a highest (resp. lowest) weight crystal and it is shown in [12] that

$\mathcal{B}_{\mu,\nu} \simeq \mathcal{B}_{\emptyset,\nu} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\emptyset}$. Then a $(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}, \mathfrak{gl}_{>0})$-bicrystal structure of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^\vee$ arising from the RSK correspondence naturally induces a $(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}, \mathfrak{gl}_{>0})$-bicrystal structure of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}))$ and the following Peter-Weyl type decomposition (Corollary 5.7)

$$\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0})) \simeq \bigsqcup_{\mu,\nu} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\nu} \times \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\nu}.$$

Hence the decomposition of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}))$ into extremal weight crystals can be understood as the tensor product of two RSK correspondences, which are dual to each other as a $(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}, \mathfrak{gl}_{>0})$-bicrystal.

Next, we prove analogues for the level zero part of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty))$. This is done by taking the limit of the results in $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$. In this case, $\mathcal{M}$ is replaced by $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$-matrices and $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,\nu}$ is replaced by the level zero extremal weight $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystal with the same parameter (μ, ν). Finally, we conjecture that the second crystal structures arising from the RSK correspondence is compatible with the dual of $*$-crystal structure.

There are several nice combinatorial descriptions of $\mathbf{B}(\infty)$ for $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$, by which we can understand the structure of the modified quantized enveloping algebras (see e.g. [6, 14, 19, 20]). But our combinatorial description of $\mathbf{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0})a_\Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)a_\Lambda)$ explains more directly its connected components, projections onto tensor products of a highest weight crystal and a lowest weight crystal, and a bicrystal structure on $\mathbf{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}))$ and $\mathbf{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty))$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give necessary background on crystals. In Section 3, we recall some combinatorics of Littlewood-Richardson tableaux from a view point of crystals, which is necessary for our later arguments. In Section 4, we review a combinatorial model of extremal weight $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystals and their non-commutative Littlewood-Richardson rules, and then in Section 5 we prove the main theorem. In Section 6, we recall the combinatorial model for extremal weight $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystals and describe the Littlewood-Richardson rule for the tensor product of a highest weight crystal and a lowest weight crystal into extremal ones. In Section 7, we prove analogues for the level zero part of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty))$. We remark that the Littlewood-Richardson rule in Section 6 is not necessary for Section 7, but is of independent interest, which completes the discussion on tensor product of extremal weight $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystals in [13].

2. CRYSTALS

2.1. Let $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ be the Lie algebra of complex matrices $(a_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with finitely many non-zero entries, which is spanned by E_{ij} $(i, j \in \mathbb{Z})$, the elementary matrix with 1 at the i-th row and the j-th column and zero elsewhere.

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}E_{ii}$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the natural pairing on $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}$. We denote by $\{\, h_i = E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1} \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z} \,\}$ the set of simple coroots, and denote by $\{\, \alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1} \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z} \,\}$ the set of simple roots, where $\epsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is given by $\langle \epsilon_i, E_{jj} \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

Let $P = \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i$ be the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$, where Λ_0 is given by $\langle \Lambda_0, E_{-j+1,-j+1} \rangle = -\langle \Lambda_0, E_{jj} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$ $(j \geq 1)$, and $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{i} \epsilon_k$, $\Lambda_{-i} = \Lambda_0 - \sum_{k=i}^{0} \epsilon_k$ for $i \geq 1$. We call Λ_i the i-th fundamental weight.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $P_k = k\Lambda_0 + \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$ be the set of integral weights of level k. Let $P^+ = \{\, \Lambda \in P \,|\, \langle \Lambda, h_i \rangle \geq 0,\ i \in \mathbb{Z} \,\} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Lambda_i$ be the set of dominant integral weights. We put $P_k^+ = P^+ \cap P_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $\Lambda = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} c_i\Lambda_i \in P$, the level of Λ is $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} c_i$. If we put $\Lambda_\pm = \sum_{i;c_i \gtrless 0} c_i\Lambda_i$, then $\Lambda = \Lambda_+ - \Lambda_-$ with $\Lambda_\pm \in P^+$.

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let r_i be the simple reflection given by $r_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, h_i \rangle \alpha_i$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$, that is, the subgroup of $GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ generated by r_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $[p, q] = \{\, p, p+1, \ldots, q \,\}$ $(p < q)$, and $[p, \infty) = \{\, p, p+1, \ldots\}$, $(-\infty, q\,] = \{\, \ldots, q-1, q \,\}$. For simplicity, we denote $[1, n]$ by $[n]$ $(n > 1)$. For an interval S in $\mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathfrak{gl}_S$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ spanned by E_{ij} for $i, j \in S$. We denote by S° the index set of simple roots for $\mathfrak{gl}_S$. For example, $[p, q]^\circ = \{\, p, \ldots, q-1 \,\}$. We also put $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r} = \mathfrak{gl}_{[r+1,\infty)}$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_{<r} = \mathfrak{gl}_{(-\infty,r-1]}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.2. Let us briefly recall the notion of crystals (see [9] for a general review and references therein).

Let S be an interval in $\mathbb{Z}$. A *$\mathfrak{gl}_S$-crystal* is a set B together with the maps $\mathrm{wt} : B \to P$, $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : B \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $\widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{f}_i : B \to B \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ $(i \in S^\circ)$ such that for $b \in B$

(1) $\varphi_i(b) = \langle \mathrm{wt}(b), h_i \rangle + \varepsilon_i(b)$,
(2) $\varepsilon_i(\widetilde{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1$, $\varphi_i(\widetilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + 1$, $\mathrm{wt}(\widetilde{e}_i b) = \mathrm{wt}(b) + \alpha_i$ if $\widetilde{e}_i b \neq \mathbf{0}$,
(3) $\varepsilon_i(\widetilde{f}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1$, $\varphi_i(\widetilde{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - 1$, $\mathrm{wt}(\widetilde{f}_i b) = \mathrm{wt}(b) - \alpha_i$ if $\widetilde{f}_i b \neq \mathbf{0}$,
(4) $\widetilde{f}_i b = b'$ if and only if $b = \widetilde{e}_i b'$ for $b, b' \in B$,
(5) $\widetilde{e}_i b = \widetilde{f}_i b = \mathbf{0}$ if $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty$,

where $\mathbf{0}$ is a formal symbol and $-\infty$ is the smallest element in $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that $-\infty + n = -\infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that B is equipped with a colored oriented graph structure, where $b \xrightarrow{i} b'$ if and only if $b' = \widetilde{f}_i b$ for $b, b' \in B$ and $i \in S^\circ$. We call B *connected* if it is connected as a graph. We call B *regular* if $\varepsilon_i(b) = \max\{\, k \,|\, \widetilde{e}_i^{\,k} b \neq \mathbf{0} \,\}$ and $\varphi_i(b) = \max\{\, k \,|\, \widetilde{f}_i^{\,k} b \neq \mathbf{0} \,\}$ for $b \in B$ and $i \in S^\circ$. The *dual crystal $B^\vee$ of* B is defined to be the set $\{\, b^\vee \,|\, b \in B \,\}$ with $\mathrm{wt}(b^\vee) = -\mathrm{wt}(b)$, $\varepsilon_i(b^\vee) = \varphi_i(b)$, $\varphi_i(b^\vee) = \varepsilon_i(b)$, $\widetilde{e}_i(b^\vee) = \left(\widetilde{f}_i b\right)^\vee$ and $\widetilde{f}_i(b^\vee) = (\widetilde{e}_i b)^\vee$ for $b \in B$ and $i \in S^\circ$. We assume that $\mathbf{0}^\vee = \mathbf{0}$.

Let B_1 and B_2 be crystals. A *morphism* $\psi : B_1 \to B_2$ is a map from $B_1 \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ to $B_2 \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that for $b \in B_1$ and $i \in S^\circ$

(1) $\psi(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}$,
(2) $\mathrm{wt}(\psi(b))=\mathrm{wt}(b)$, $\varepsilon_i(\psi(b))=\varepsilon_i(b)$, and $\varphi_i(\psi(b))=\varphi_i(b)$ if $\psi(b)\neq\mathbf{0}$,
(3) $\psi(\widetilde{e}_i b)=\widetilde{e}_i\psi(b)$ if $\psi(b)\neq\mathbf{0}$ and $\psi(\widetilde{e}_i b)\neq\mathbf{0}$,
(4) $\psi(\widetilde{f}_i b)=\widetilde{f}_i\psi(b)$ if $\psi(b)\neq\mathbf{0}$ and $\psi(\widetilde{f}_i b)\neq\mathbf{0}$.

We call ψ an *embedding* and B_1 a *subcrystal of* B_2 when ψ is injective, and call ψ *strict* if $\psi : B_1\cup\{\mathbf{0}\}\to B_2\cup\{\mathbf{0}\}$ commutes with $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ for $i\in S^\circ$, where we assume that $\widetilde{e}_i\mathbf{0}=\widetilde{f}_i\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0}$. If ψ is a strict embedding, then B_2 is isomorphic to $B_1\sqcup(B_2\setminus B_1)$. Note that an embedding between regular crystals is always strict. For $b_i\in B_i$ $(i=1,2)$, we say that b_1 *is* ($\mathfrak{gl}_S$-)*equivalent to* b_2, and write $b_1\equiv b_2$ if there exists an isomorphism of crystals $C(b_1)\to C(b_2)$ sending b_1 to b_2, where $C(b_i)$ denote the connected component of B_i including b_i.

A *tensor product* of B_1 and B_2 is defined to be the set $B_1\otimes B_2=\{\,b_1\otimes b_2\,|\,b_i\in B_i\ (i=1,2)\,\}$ with

$$\mathrm{wt}(b_1\otimes b_2)=\mathrm{wt}(b_1)+\mathrm{wt}(b_2),$$
$$\varepsilon_i(b_1\otimes b_2)=\max(\varepsilon_i(b_1),\varepsilon_i(b_2)-\langle\mathrm{wt}(b_1),h_i\rangle),$$
$$\varphi_i(b_1\otimes b_2)=\max(\varphi_i(b_1)+\langle\mathrm{wt}(b_2),h_i\rangle,\varphi_i(b_2)),$$
$$\widetilde{e}_i(b_1\otimes b_2)=\begin{cases}\widetilde{e}_ib_1\otimes b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1)\geq\varepsilon_i(b_2),\\ b_1\otimes\widetilde{e}_ib_2, & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1)<\varepsilon_i(b_2),\end{cases}$$
$$\widetilde{f}_i(b_1\otimes b_2)=\begin{cases}\widetilde{f}_ib_1\otimes b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1)>\varepsilon_i(b_2),\\ b_1\otimes\widetilde{f}_ib_2, & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1)\leq\varepsilon_i(b_2),\end{cases}$$

for $i\in S^\circ$ and $b_1\otimes b_2\in B_1\otimes B_2$, where we assume that $\mathbf{0}\otimes b_2=b_1\otimes\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0}$. Then $B_1\otimes B_2$ is a crystal. If B_1 and B_2 are regular, then so is $B_1\otimes B_2$. Note that $(B_1\otimes B_2)^\vee\simeq B_2^\vee\otimes B_1^\vee$.

For a crystal B and $m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we denote by $B^{\oplus m}$ the disjoint union $B_1\sqcup\cdots\sqcup B_m$ with $B_i\simeq B$, where $B^{\oplus 0}$ means the empty set.

2.3. Let $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_S)$ be the quantized enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_S$. For $\Lambda\in P$, let $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda)$ be the crystal base of the extremal weight $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_S)$-module with extremal weight vector u_Λ of weight Λ, which is a regular $\mathfrak{gl}_S$-crystal. We refer the reader to [8, 10] for more details. When $\pm\Lambda$ is a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{gl}_S$, $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda)$ is a crystal base of the integrable highest (resp. lowest) weight $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_S)$-module with highest (resp. lowest) weight Λ. Also we have $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda)\simeq\mathbf{B}(w\Lambda)$ for $w\in W$. Hence, when S is finite, $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda)$ is always isomorphic to the crystal base of a highest weight module and in particular it is connected. When S is infinite, it is shown in [12, Proposition 3.1] and [13, Proposition 4.1] that $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda)$ is also connected.

Let $\mathbf{B}(\pm\infty)$ be the crystal base of the negative (resp. positive) part of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_S)$ with the highest (resp. lowest) weight vector $u_{\pm\infty}$ and let $T_\Lambda=\{\,t_\Lambda\,\}$ $(\Lambda\in P)$

be the crystal with $\widetilde{e}_i t_\Lambda = \widetilde{f}_i t_\Lambda = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathrm{wt}(t_\Lambda) = \Lambda$ and $\varepsilon_i(t_\Lambda) = \varphi_i(t_\Lambda) = -\infty$ for $i \in S^\circ$. There is a strict embedding of $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda)$ into $\mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty)$ sending u_Λ to $u_\infty \otimes t_\Lambda \otimes u_{-\infty}$. Hence $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $C(u_\infty \otimes t_\Lambda \otimes u_{-\infty})$ since $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda)$ is connected. Note that $\mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty)$ is regular.

There is an embedding $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_+) \to \mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_{\Lambda_+}$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_-) \to T_{\Lambda_-} \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty)$) sending u_{λ_+} to $u_\infty \otimes t_{\Lambda_+}$ (resp. u_{λ_-} to $t_{\Lambda_-} \otimes u_{-\infty}$). This gives a strict embedding

$$\iota_{\Lambda_+,\Lambda_-} : \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_+) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_-) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty) \tag{2.1}$$

sending $u_{\Lambda_+} \otimes u_{-\Lambda_-}$ to $u_\infty \otimes t_\Lambda \otimes u_{-\infty}$ since $t_\Lambda \equiv t_{\Lambda_+} \otimes t_{\Lambda_-}$. For a $\mathfrak{gl}_S$-dominant weight $\xi \in P$, let

$$\iota^{\xi}_{\Lambda_+,\Lambda_-} : \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_+) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_-) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_+ + \xi) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\xi - \Lambda_-) \tag{2.2}$$

be an embedding given by the composition of the following two morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_+) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_-) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_+) \otimes \mathbf{B}(\xi) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\xi) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_-) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_+ + \xi) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\xi - \Lambda_-),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{f}_{i_r} u_{\Lambda_+} \otimes \widetilde{e}_{j_1} \cdots \widetilde{e}_{j_s} u_{-\Lambda_-} \\ &\mapsto \left(\widetilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{f}_{i_r} u_{\Lambda_+}\right) \otimes u_\xi \otimes u_{-\xi} \otimes \left(\widetilde{e}_{j_1} \cdots \widetilde{e}_{j_s} u_{-\Lambda_-}\right) \\ &\mapsto \widetilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{f}_{i_r} u_{\Lambda_+ + \xi} \otimes \widetilde{e}_{j_1} \cdots \widetilde{e}_{j_s} u_{-\xi-\Lambda_-}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\widetilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{f}_{i_r} u_{\Lambda_+ + \xi} \equiv \left(\widetilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{f}_{i_r} u_{\Lambda_+}\right) \otimes u_\xi, \quad \text{if } \widetilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{f}_{i_r} u_{\Lambda_+} \neq \mathbf{0},$$

$$\widetilde{e}_{j_1} \cdots \widetilde{e}_{j_s} u_{-\xi-\Lambda_-} \equiv u_{-\xi} \otimes \left(\widetilde{e}_{j_1} \cdots \widetilde{e}_{j_s} u_{-\Lambda_-}\right), \quad \text{if } \widetilde{e}_{j_1} \cdots \widetilde{e}_{j_s} u_{-\Lambda_-} \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty) = \bigcup_{\substack{\Lambda', \Lambda'' \,:\, \mathfrak{gl}_S\text{-dominant} \\ \Lambda' - \Lambda'' = \Lambda}} \mathrm{Im}(\iota_{\Lambda',\Lambda''}), \\ &\iota_{\Lambda',\Lambda''} = \iota_{\Lambda'+\xi,\Lambda''+\xi} \circ \iota^{\xi}_{\Lambda',\Lambda''},\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$\{\, \mathbf{B}(\Lambda') \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda'') \,|\, \Lambda', \Lambda'' : \mathfrak{gl}_S\text{-dominant with } \Lambda = \Lambda' - \Lambda'' \,\}$ forms a direct system, whose limit is $\mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty)$. Note that $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $C(u_{\Lambda_+ + \xi} \otimes u_{-\xi-\Lambda_-})$ in $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_+ + \xi) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\xi - \Lambda_-)$ for any $\mathfrak{gl}_S$-dominant weight ξ.

3. Young and Littlewood-Richardson tableaux

3.1. Let $\mathscr{P}$ denote the set of partitions. We identify a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i\geq 1}$ with a *Young diagram* or a subset $\{\,(i,j)\,|\,1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\,\}$ of $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ following [16]. Let $\ell(\lambda) = |\{\,i\,|\,\lambda_i \neq 0\,\}|$ and $|\lambda| = \sum_{i\geq 1}\lambda_i$. We denote by $\lambda' = (\lambda'_i)_{i\geq 1}$ the conjugate partition of λ whose Young diagram is $\{\,(i,j)\,|\,(j,i)\in\lambda\,\}$. For $\mu,\nu\in\mathscr{P}$, $\mu\cup\nu$ is the partition obtained by rearranging $\{\,\mu_i,\nu_i\,|\,i\geq 1\,\}$, and $\mu+\nu = (\mu_i+\nu_i)_{i\geq 1}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a linearly ordered set and λ/μ a skew Young diagram. A tableau T obtained by filling λ/μ with entries in $\mathcal{A}$ is called a *semistandard tableau or Young tableau of shape* λ/μ if the entries in each row (resp. column) are weakly (resp. strictly) increasing from left to right (resp. from top to bottom). We denote by $T(i,j)$ the entry of T at (i,j) position for $(i,j)\in\lambda/\mu$. Let $SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda/\mu)$ be the set of all semistandard tableaux of shape λ/μ with entries in $\mathcal{A}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an interval in $\mathbb{Z}$ with a usual linear ordering. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathcal{A}}$-crystal associated with the natural representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathcal{A}})$, where $\mathrm{wt}(i)=\epsilon_i$ and $i \xrightarrow{i} i+1$ for $i\in\mathcal{A}^\circ$. The image of $SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda/\mu)$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes r}$ under the map $T\mapsto w(T)_{\mathrm{col}} = w_1\ldots w_r$ together with $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is invariant under $\widetilde{e}_i,\widetilde{f}_i$, where $w(T)_{\mathrm{col}}$ is the word obtained by reading the entries column by column from right to left, and in each column from top to bottom. Hence $SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda/\mu)$ is a subcrystal of $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes r}$ [11]. We may identify $T^\vee\in SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda/\mu)^\vee$ with the tableau obtained from T by 180°-rotation and replacing each entry t with $t^\vee$. So we have $SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda/\mu)^\vee \simeq SST_{\mathcal{A}^\vee}((\lambda/\mu)^\vee)$, where $a^\vee < b^\vee$ if and only if $b<a$ for $a,b\in\mathcal{A}$ and $(\lambda/\mu)^\vee$ is the skew Young diagram obtained from λ/μ by 180°-rotation. We use the convention $(t^\vee)^\vee = t$ and hence $(T^\vee)^\vee = T$.

3.2. For $\lambda,\mu,\nu\in\mathscr{P}$ with $|\lambda| = |\mu|+|\nu|$, let $\mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ be the set of tableaux U in $SST_{\mathbb{N}}(\lambda/\mu)$ such that

1. the number of occurrences of each $i\geq 1$ in U is ν_i,
2. for for $1\leq k\leq|\nu|$, the number of occurrences of each $i\geq 1$ in $w_1\ldots w_k$ is no less than that of $i+1$ in $w_1\ldots w_k$, where $w(U)_{\mathrm{col}} = w_1\ldots w_{|\nu|}$.

We call $\mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ the set of *Littlewood-Richardson tableaux of shape* λ/μ *with content* ν and put $c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \left|\mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}\right|$ [16]. We introduce a variation of $\mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$, which is necessary for our later arguments. Let $\overline{\mathbf{LR}}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ be the set of tableaux U in $SST_{-\mathbb{N}}(\lambda/\mu)$ such that

1. the number of occurrences of each $-i\leq -1$ in U is ν_i,
2. for $1\leq k\leq|\nu|$, the number of occurrences of each $-i\leq -1$ in $w_k\ldots w_{|\nu|}$ is no less than that of $-(i+1)$ in $w_k\ldots w_{|\nu|}$, where $w(U)_{\mathrm{col}} = w_1\ldots w_{|\nu|}$.

There are other characterizations of $\mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{LR}}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ using crystals. For $U \in SST_{\mathbb{N}}(\lambda/\mu)$, we have $U \in \mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ if and only if U is $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-equivalent (or Knuth equivalent) to the highest weight element H_ν in $SST_{\mathbb{N}}(\nu)$, that is, $H_\nu(i,j) = i$ for $(i,j) \in \nu$. Similarly, we have for $U \in SST_{-\mathbb{N}}(\lambda/\mu)$, $U \in \overline{\mathbf{LR}}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ if and only if U is $\mathfrak{gl}_{<0}$-equivalent (or Knuth equivalent) to the lowest weight element L_ν in $SST_{-\mathbb{N}}(\nu)$, that is, $L_\nu(i,j) = -\nu'_j + i - 1$ for $(i,j) \in \nu$.

There is a one-to-one correspondence with the set of $V \in SST_{\mathbb{N}}(\nu)$ such that $H_\mu \otimes V \equiv H_\lambda$ and $\mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$. Indeed, V corresponds to $\imath(V) = U \in \mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ where the number of k's in the i-th row of V is equal to the number of i's in the k-th row of U for $i, k \geq 1$.

Example 3.1.

$$SST_{\mathbb{N}}((3,3,2)) \ni \begin{array}{ccc} 1&1&2\\ 2&2&3\\ 3&4& \end{array} \quad \xrightarrow{\imath} \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \bullet&\bullet&\bullet&1&1\\ \bullet&1&2&2&\\ 2&3&&&\\ 3&&&& \end{array} \quad \in \mathbf{LR}^{(5,4,2,1)}_{(3,1)\ (3,3,2)}$$

3.3. Next, let us briefly recall the *switching algorithm* [2]. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two linearly ordered sets. Let λ/μ be a skew Young diagram. Let U be a tableau of shape λ/μ with entries in $\mathcal{A} \sqcup \mathcal{B}$, satisfying the following conditions;

(S1) $U(i,j) \leq U(i',j')$ whenever $U(i,j), U(i',j') \in \mathcal{X}$ for $(i,j), (i',j') \in \lambda/\mu$ with $i \leq i'$ and $j \leq j'$,

(S2) in each column of U, entries in $\mathcal{X}$ increase strictly from top to bottom,

where $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{B}$. Suppose that $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}$ are two adjacent entries in U such that a is placed above or to the left of b. Interchanging a and b is called a *switching* if the resulting tableau still satisfies the conditions (S1) and (S2).

Let λ/μ and μ/η be two skew Young diagrams. For $S \in SST_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu/\eta)$ and $T \in SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda/\mu)$, we denote by $S{*}T$ the tableau of shape λ/η with entries $\mathcal{A} \sqcup \mathcal{B}$ obtained by gluing S and T. Let U be a tableau obtained from $S * T$ by applying switching procedures as far as possible. Then it is shown in [2, Theorems 2.2 and 3.1] that

1. $U = T' * S'$, where $T' \in SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\nu/\eta)$ and $S' \in SST_{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda/\nu)$ for some ν,
2. U is uniquely determined by S and T,
3. $w(S)_{\rm col}$ (resp. $w(T)_{\rm col}$) is Knuth equivalent to $w(S')_{\rm col}$ (resp. $w(T')_{\rm col}$),

Suppose that $\eta = \emptyset$ and $S = H_\mu \in SST_{\mathbb{N}}(\mu)$. We put

$$\jmath(T) = T', \qquad \jmath(T)_R = S'. \tag{3.1}$$

Then we have the following.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an interval in $\mathbb{Z}$. The map sending T to $(\jmath(T), \jmath(T)_R)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathcal{A}}$-crystals*

$$SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda/\mu) \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\nu\in\mathscr{P}} SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\nu) \times \mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\nu\,\mu},$$

where $\widetilde{x}_i(T', S') = (\widetilde{x}_i T', S')$ for $i \in \mathcal{A}^\circ$ and $x = e, f$ on the righthand side. In particular, the map $Q \mapsto \jmath(Q)_R$ restricts to a bijection from $\mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\,\nu}$ to $\mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\nu\,\mu}$, and from $\overline{\mathbf{LR}}^{\lambda}_{\mu\,\nu}$ to $\mathbf{LR}^{\lambda}_{\nu\,\mu}$ when $\mathcal{A} = \pm\mathbb{N}$, respectively.

Proof. The map is clearly a bijection by [2, Theorem 3.1]. Moreover, $\jmath(T)$ is $\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathcal{A}}$-equivalent to T and $\jmath(T)_R$ is invariant under $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ for $i \in \mathcal{A}^\circ$ (cf.[5, Theorem 5.9]). Hence the bijection is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathcal{A}}$-crystal, where on the right-hand side the operators $\widetilde{e}_i$, $\widetilde{f}_i$ act on the first component. □

Remark 3.3. The inverse of the isomorphism in Proposition 3.2 is given directly by applying the switching process in a reverse way.

4. Extremal weight crystals of type $A_{+\infty}$

Note that for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ the $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystals $[r+1,\infty)$ and $[r+1,\infty)^\vee$ are given by

$$r+1 \xrightarrow{r+1} r+2 \xrightarrow{r+2} r+3 \xrightarrow{r+3} \cdots,$$

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{r+3} (r+3)^\vee \xrightarrow{r+2} (r+2)^\vee \xrightarrow{r+1} (r+1)^\vee .$$

For $\mu \in \mathscr{P}$, let

$$\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu} = SST_{[r+1,\infty)}(\mu). \tag{4.1}$$

Then $\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu}$ is the highest weight $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystal with highest weight element $H^{>r}_{\mu}$ of weight $\sum_{i\geq 1}\lambda_i\epsilon_{r+i}$, where $H^{>r}_{\mu}(i,j) = r+i$ for $(i,j) \in \mu$. We identify $\left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu}\right)^\vee$ with $SST_{[r+1,\infty)^\vee}(\mu^\vee)$.

For $\nu \in \mathscr{P}$ and $s \geq \ell(\nu)$, let $E^{>r}_{\nu}(s) \in (\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\nu})^\vee$ be an element given by

$$\left(E^{>r}_{\nu}(s)\right)^\vee(i,j) = s - \nu'_j + i \tag{4.2}$$

for $(i,j) \in \nu$. For $s \geq \ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)$, let

$$\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu} = C\left(H^{>r}_{\mu} \otimes E^{>r}_{\nu}(s)\right) \subset \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu} \otimes \left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\nu}\right)^\vee, \tag{4.3}$$

the connected component including $H^{>r}_{\mu} \otimes E^{>r}_{\nu}(s)$ as a $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystal. Then we have the following by [12, Proposition 3.4] and [12, Theorem 3.5].

Theorem 4.1. *For $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}$,*

(1) *$\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu}$ is the set of $S \otimes T \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu} \otimes (\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\nu})^\vee$ such that for each $k \geq 1$,*

$$\Big|\,\{\, i \,|\, S(i,1) \leq r+k \,\}\,\Big| + \big|\,\{\, i \,|\, T^\vee(i,1) \leq r+k \,\}\,\big| \leq k,$$

(2) $\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu}$ *is isomorphic to an extremal weight* $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$*-crystal with extremal weight*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)}\mu_i\epsilon_{r+i}-\sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\nu)}\nu_j\epsilon_{\ell(\mu)+\ell(\nu)-j+1}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu}$ does not depend on the choice of s and $\{\,\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu}\,|\,\mu,\nu\in\mathscr{P}\,\}$ is a complete list of pairwise non-isomorphic extremal weight $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystals [12, Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 5.1] and the tensor product of extremal weight $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystals is isomorphic to a finite disjoint union of extremal weight crystals [12, Theorem 4.10].

To describe the tensor product of extremal weight $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystals, let us review an insertion algorithm for extremal weight crystal elements [12], which is an infinite analogue of [22]. Recall that for $a\in\mathcal{A}$ and $T\in SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda)$, $a\to T$ (resp. $T\leftarrow a$) denotes the tableau obtained by the Schensted column (resp. row) insertion, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a linearly ordered set and $\lambda\in\mathscr{P}$ (see for example [4, Appendix A.2]).

We denote $S\otimes T\in\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu}$ by (S,T). For $a\in[r+1,\infty)$, we define $a\to(S,T)$ in the following way;

Suppose first that S is empty and T is a single column tableau. Let (T',a') be the pair obtained by the following process;

1. If T contains $a^\vee,(a+1)^\vee,\ldots,(b-1)^\vee$ but not $b^\vee$, then T' is the tableau obtained from T by replacing $a^\vee,(a+1)^\vee,\ldots,(b-1)^\vee$ with $(a+1)^\vee,(a+2)^\vee,\ldots,b^\vee$, and put $a'=b$.
2. If T does not contain $a^\vee$, then leave T unchanged and put $a'=a$.

Now, we suppose that S and T are arbitrary.

1. Apply the above process to the leftmost column of T with a.
2. Repeat (1) with a' and the next column to the right.
3. Continue this process to the right-most column of T to get a tableau T' and a''.
4. Define $a\to(S,T)$ to be $((a''\to S)\,,\,T')$

Then $(a\to(S,T))\in\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\sigma,\nu}$ for some $\sigma\in\mathscr{P}$ with $|\sigma|-|\mu|=1$. For a finite word $w=w_1\ldots w_n$ with letters in $[r+1,\infty)$, we let $(w\to(S,T))=(w_n\to(\cdots(w_1\to(S,T))\cdots))$.

For $a\in[r+1,\infty)$ and $(S,T)\in\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu}$, we define $(S,T)\leftarrow a^\vee$ to be the pair (S',T') obtained in the following way;

1. If the pair $(S,(T^\vee\leftarrow a)^\vee)$ satisfies the condition in Theorem 4.1 (1), then put $S'=S$ and $T'=(T^\vee\leftarrow a)^\vee$.
2. Otherwise, choose the smallest k such that a_k is bumped out of the k-th row in the row insertion of a into $T^\vee$ and the insertion of a_k into the $(k+1)$-th row violates the condition in Theorem 4.1 (1).

(2-a) Stop the row insertion of a into $T^\vee$ when a_k is bumped out and let T' be the resulting tableau after taking $\vee$.

(2-b) Remove a_k in the left-most column of S, which necessarily exists, and then apply the *jeu de taquin* (see for example [4, Section 1.2]) to obtain a tableau S'.

In this case, $((S,T) \leftarrow a^\vee) \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\sigma,\tau}$, where either (1) $|\mu| - |\sigma| = 1$ and $\tau = \nu$, or (2) $\sigma = \mu$ and $|\tau| - |\nu| = 1$. For a finite word $w = w_1 \ldots w_n$ with letters in $[r+1,\infty)^\vee$, we let $((S,T) \leftarrow w) = ((\cdots((S,T) \leftarrow w_1)\cdots) \leftarrow w_n)$.

Let $\mu,\nu,\sigma,\tau \in \mathscr{P}$ be given. For $(S,T) \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu}$ and $(S',T') \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\sigma,\tau}$, we define

$$\big((S',T') \rightarrow (S,T)\big) = \big(\big(w(S')_{\rm col} \rightarrow (S,T)\big) \leftarrow w(T')_{\rm col}\big)\,.$$

Then $((S',T') \rightarrow (S,T)) \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\zeta,\eta}$ for some $\zeta,\eta \in \mathscr{P}$. Assume that $w(S')_{\rm col} = w_1 \ldots w_s$ and $w(T')_{\rm col} = w_{s+1} \ldots w_{s+t}$. For $1 \leq i \leq s+t$, let

$$(S^i,T^i) = \begin{cases} w_1 \cdots w_i \rightarrow (S,T), & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq s, \\ (S^s,T^s) \leftarrow w_{s+1} \cdots w_i, & \text{if } s+1 \leq i \leq s+t, \end{cases}$$

and $(S^0,T^0) = (S,T)$. We define

$$\big((S',T') \rightarrow (S,T)\big)_R = (U,V),$$

where (U,V) is the pair of tableaux with entries in $\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ determined by the following process;

(1) U is of shape σ and V is of shape τ.

(2) Let $1 \leq i \leq s$. If w_i is inserted into (S^{i-1},T^{i-1}) to create a dot (or box) in the k-th row of the shape of S^{i-1}, then we fill the dot in σ corresponding to w_i with k.

(3) Let $s+1 \leq i \leq s+t$. If w_i is inserted into (S^{i-1},T^{i-1}) to create a dot in the k-th row (from the bottom) of the shape of T^{i-1}, then we fill the dot in τ corresponding to w_i with $-k$. If w_i is inserted into (S^{i-1},T^{i-1}) to remove a dot in the k-th row of the shape of S^{i-1}, then we fill the corresponding dot in τ with k.

We call $((S',T') \rightarrow (S,T))_R$ *the recording tableau of* $((S',T') \rightarrow (S,T))$. By [12, Theorem 4.10], we have the following.

Proposition 4.2. *Under the above hypothesis, we have*

(1) $((S',T') \rightarrow (S,T)) \equiv (S,T) \otimes (S',T')$,

(2) $((S',T') \rightarrow (S,T))_R \in SST_{\mathbb{N}}(\sigma) \times SST_{\mathcal{Z}}(\tau)$, *where* $\mathcal{Z}$ *is the set of non-zero integers with a linear ordering* $1 \prec 2 \prec 3 \prec \cdots \prec -3 \prec -2 \prec -1$,

(3) *the recording tableaux are constant on the connected component of* $\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu} \otimes \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\sigma,\tau}$ *including* $(S,T) \otimes (S',T')$.

Suppose that $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}$ and $W \in SST_{\mathbb{Z}}(\nu)$ are given with $w(W)_{\rm col} = w_n \dots w_1$. Let $(\alpha^0, \beta^0), (\alpha^1, \beta^1), \dots, (\alpha^n, \beta^n)$ be the sequence where $\alpha^i = (\alpha^i_j)_{j \geq 1}$ and $\beta^i = (\beta^i_j)_{j \geq 1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ are sequences of integers defined inductively as follows;

1. (1) $\alpha^0 = \mu$ and $\beta^0 = \emptyset$.
2. (2) If w_i is positive, then α^i is obtained by subtracting 1 in the w_i-th part of α^{i-1}, and $\beta^i = \beta^{i-1}$. If w_i is negative, then $\alpha^i = \alpha^{i-1}$ and β^i is obtained by adding 1 in the $(-w_i)$-th part of β^{i-1}.

Then for $\sigma, \tau \in \mathscr{P}$ we define $\mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\sigma,\tau)}$ to be the set of $W \in SST_{\mathbb{Z}}(\nu)$ such that (1) α^i, $\beta^i \in \mathscr{P}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and (2) $\alpha^n = \sigma$, $\beta^n = \tau$.

As a particular case of [12, Theorem 4.10], we have the following.

Proposition 4.3. *For $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}$, we have an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystals*

$$\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu} \otimes \left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\nu}\right)^{\vee} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\sigma,\tau \in \mathscr{P}} \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\sigma,\tau} \times \mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\sigma,\tau)},$$

where $S \otimes T$ is sent to $\left(\left((\emptyset, T) \to (S, \emptyset)\right), \left((\emptyset, T) \to (S, \emptyset)\right)_R\right)$.

Further, we can characterize $\mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\sigma,\tau)}$ as follows.

Proposition 4.4. *For $\mu, \nu, \sigma, \tau \in \mathscr{P}$, there exists a bijection*

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\sigma,\tau)} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathscr{P}} \mathbf{LR}^{\mu}_{\sigma\lambda} \times \mathbf{LR}^{\nu}_{\tau\lambda}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $W \in \mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\sigma,\tau)}$ is given. Let W_+ (resp. W_-) be the subtableau in W consisting of positive (resp. negative) entries.

We have $W_+ \in SST_{\mathbb{N}}(\lambda)$ and $W_- \in SST_{-\mathbb{N}}(\nu/\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \subset \nu$. By definition of $W \in \mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\sigma,\tau)}$, we have $\imath(W_+) \in \mathbf{LR}^{\mu}_{\sigma\lambda}$ and $W_- \in \overline{\mathbf{LR}}^{\nu}_{\lambda\tau}$, hence $\jmath(W_-)_R \in \mathbf{LR}^{\nu}_{\tau\lambda}$ by Proposition 3.2.

We can check that the correspondence $W \mapsto (W_1, W_2) = (\imath(W_+), \jmath(W_-)_R)$ is reversible and hence gives a bijection $\mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\sigma,\tau)} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathscr{P}} \mathbf{LR}^{\mu}_{\sigma\lambda} \times \mathbf{LR}^{\nu}_{\tau\lambda}$. □

Example 4.5. Consider

$$S = \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & \end{array} \in \mathbf{B}^{>0}_{(3,2)}, \qquad T = \begin{array}{ccc} & & 4^{\vee} \\ & 3^{\vee} & 2^{\vee} \\ 2^{\vee} & 2^{\vee} & 1^{\vee} \end{array} \in \left(\mathbf{B}^{>0}_{(3,2,1)}\right)^{\vee}.$$

Then we have

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & \end{array} , \quad \emptyset \right) \longleftarrow 4^{\vee} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & \end{array} , \quad 4^{\vee} \right) \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \\ -1 & & \end{array}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1&1&2\\2&3&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{c}\\4^\vee\end{array}\right)\longleftarrow 2^\vee=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1&1&2\\3&&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{c}\\4^\vee\end{array}\right)\qquad\begin{array}{ccc}\bullet&\bullet&\bullet\\2&\bullet&\\-1&&\end{array}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1&1&2\\3&&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{c}\\4^\vee\end{array}\right)\longleftarrow 1^\vee=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\3&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{c}\\4^\vee\end{array}\right)\qquad\begin{array}{ccc}1&\bullet&\bullet\\2&\bullet&\\-1&&\end{array}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\3&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{c}\\4^\vee\end{array}\right)\longleftarrow 3^\vee=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\3&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{c}4^\vee\\3^\vee\end{array}\right)\qquad\begin{array}{ccc}1&\bullet&\bullet\\2&-2&\\-1&&\end{array}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\3&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{c}4^\vee\\3^\vee\end{array}\right)\longleftarrow 2^\vee=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{c}4^\vee\\2^\vee\end{array}\right)\qquad\begin{array}{ccc}1&2&\bullet\\2&-2&\\-1&&\end{array}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{c}4^\vee\\2^\vee\end{array}\right)\longleftarrow 2^\vee=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{cc}&4^\vee\\2^\vee&2^\vee\end{array}\right)\qquad\begin{array}{ccc}1&2&-1\\2&-2&\\-1&&\end{array}\;.$$

Hence,

$$((\emptyset,T)\to(S,\emptyset))=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{cc}&4^\vee\\2^\vee&2^\vee\end{array}\right)\in\mathbf{B}^{>0}_{(2),(2,1)},$$

$$((\emptyset,T)\to(S,\emptyset))_R=\begin{array}{ccc}1&2&-1\\2&-2&\\-1&&\end{array}\in\mathcal{C}^{(3,2),(3,2,1)}_{(2),(2,1)}.$$

If we put $W=((\emptyset,T)\to(S,\emptyset))_R$, then

$$W_+=\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\2&\end{array}\quad,\quad W_-=\begin{array}{ccc}\bullet&\bullet&-1\\\bullet&-2&\\-1&&\end{array}\quad.$$

Since

$$\imath(W_+)=\begin{array}{ccc}\bullet&\bullet&1\\1&2&\end{array}\;,\quad\jmath(W_-)=\begin{array}{cc}-2&-1\\-1&\end{array}\;,\quad\jmath(W_-)_R=\begin{array}{ccc}\bullet&\bullet&1\\\bullet&2&\\1&&\end{array}$$

(see Proposition 3.2 (2)), we have

$$(W_1,W_2)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\bullet&\bullet&1\\1&2&\\&&\end{array}\;,\;\begin{array}{ccc}\bullet&\bullet&1\\\bullet&2&\\1&&\end{array}\right)\in\mathbf{LR}^{(3,2)}_{(2)(2,1)}\times\mathbf{LR}^{(3,2,1)}_{(2,1)(2,1)}.$$

Now, the multiplicity of each connected component can be written in terms of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients as follows. We remark that it was already given in [12, Corollary 7.3], while Proposition 4.4 gives a bijective proof of it.

Corollary 4.6. *For $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}$, we have*

$$\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu} \otimes \left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\nu}\right)^{\vee} \simeq \bigsqcup_{\sigma,\tau\in\mathscr{P}} \left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\sigma,\tau}\right)^{\oplus c^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\sigma,\tau)}},$$

where

$$c^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\sigma,\tau)} = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathscr{P}} c^{\mu}_{\sigma\lambda} c^{\nu}_{\tau\lambda}.$$

Proposition 4.7. *For $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}$, we have an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystals*

$$\left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\nu}\right)^{\vee} \otimes \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu},$$

where $T \otimes S$ is mapped to $((S,\emptyset) \to (\emptyset, T))$.

Proof. For $T \otimes S \in (\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\nu})^{\vee} \otimes \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu}$, it follows from Proposition 4.2 (2) that

(1) $((S,\emptyset) \to (\emptyset,T))_R = (H_\mu, \emptyset)$.
(2) $((S,\emptyset) \to (\emptyset,T)) \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu}$,

Therefore, by [12, Theorem 4.10] the map

$$\left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\nu}\right)^{\vee} \otimes \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu} \times \{\, (H_\mu, \emptyset) \,\}$$

sending $T \otimes S$ to $\left(((S,\emptyset) \to (\emptyset,T))\,, ((S,\emptyset) \to (\emptyset,T))_R\right)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystals. ☐

Example 4.8. Let

$$(U,V) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ & \end{array} \;,\; \begin{array}{cc} & 4^{\vee} \\ 2^{\vee} & 2^{\vee} \end{array} \right) \in \mathbf{B}^{>0}_{(2),(2,1)}$$

be as in Example 4.5. If we put

$$\widetilde{V} \otimes \widetilde{U} = \begin{array}{cc} & 4^{\vee} \\ 2^{\vee} & 1^{\vee} \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ & \end{array} \in \left(\mathbf{B}^{>0}_{(2,1)}\right)^{\vee} \otimes \mathbf{B}^{>0}_{(2)},$$

then

$$\left((\widetilde{U},\emptyset) \to (\emptyset,\widetilde{V})\right) = (U,V).$$

5. Combinatorial description of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}))$

In this section, we give a combinatorial realization of $\mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty)$ for an integral weight Λ in case of $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$, and then $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}))$.

5.1. For simplicity, we put for a skew Young diagram λ/μ

$$\mathcal{B}_{\lambda/\mu} = SST_{\mathbb{N}}(\lambda/\mu),$$

and for $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu,\nu} = \mathbf{B}^{>0}_{\mu,\nu}.$$

For $S \otimes T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\vee}_{\nu}$, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} (U, V) &= ((\emptyset, T) \to (S, \emptyset)) \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma,\tau}, \\ W &= ((\emptyset, T) \to (S, \emptyset))_R \in \mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\sigma,\tau)}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\sigma, \tau \in \mathscr{P}$. By Proposition 4.7, there exist unique $\widetilde{U} \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{V} \in \mathcal{B}^{\vee}_{\tau}$ such that $\widetilde{V} \otimes \widetilde{U} \equiv (U, V)$. By Proposition 4.4, we have

$$W \longleftrightarrow (W_1, W_2) \in \mathbf{LR}^{\mu}_{\sigma\lambda} \times \mathbf{LR}^{\nu}_{\tau\lambda}$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$. By Proposition 3.2, there exists unique $X \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu/\lambda}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{B}_{\nu/\lambda}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \jmath(X) &= \widetilde{U}, \quad \jmath(X)_R = W_1, \\ \jmath(Y)^{\vee} &= \widetilde{V}, \quad \jmath(Y)_R = W_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we define

$$\psi_{\mu,\nu}(S \otimes T) = Y^{\vee} \otimes X \in \mathcal{B}^{\vee}_{\nu/\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mu/\lambda}. \tag{5.1}$$

By construction, $\psi_{\mu,\nu}$ is bijective and commutes with $\widetilde{x}_i$ for $x = e, f$ and $i \geq 1$. Hence we have the following.

Proposition 5.1. *For $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}$, the map*

$$\psi_{\mu,\nu} : \mathcal{B}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\vee}_{\nu} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\lambda \subset \mu,\nu} \mathcal{B}^{\vee}_{\nu/\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mu/\lambda}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystals.

Example 5.2. Let S and T be the tableaux in Example 4.5. Let

$$X = \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \bullet & 1 \\ \bullet & 1 & \end{array}, \quad Y = \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \bullet & 1 \\ \bullet & 2 & \\ 4 & & \end{array}.$$

Following the above notations, we have

$$H_{(2,1)} * X = \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & 1 \\ \mathbf{2} & 1 & \end{array} \overset{\mathit{switching}}{\leftrightsquigarrow} \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \end{array} = j(X) * j(X)_R = \widetilde{U} * W_1,$$

$$H_{(2,1)} * Y = \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & 1 \\ \mathbf{2} & 2 & \\ 4 & & \end{array} \overset{\mathit{switching}}{\leftrightsquigarrow} \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & \mathbf{1} \\ 4 & \mathbf{2} & \\ \mathbf{1} & & \end{array} = j(Y) * j(Y)_R = \widetilde{V} * W_2,$$

where $\widetilde{U}$, $\widetilde{V}$, W_i $(i=1,2)$ are as in Examples 4.5 and 4.8. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\mu,\nu}(S\otimes T) &= Y^\vee \otimes X \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \bullet & 1 \\ \bullet & 2 & \\ 4 & & \end{array}\right)^\vee \otimes \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \bullet & 1 \\ \bullet & 1 & \end{array} \\ &= \begin{array}{ccc} & & 4^\vee \\ & 2^\vee & \bullet \\ 1^\vee & \bullet & \bullet \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \bullet & 1 \\ \bullet & 1 & \end{array} .\end{aligned}$$

For a skew Young diagram and λ/μ and $k\geq 1$, we define

$$\kappa_k : \mathcal{B}_{\lambda/\mu} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{(\lambda+(1^k))/(\mu+(1^k))} \tag{5.2}$$

by $\kappa_k(S)=S'$ with

$$S'(i,j) = \begin{cases} S(i,j), & \text{if } i>k, \\ S(i,j-1), & \text{if } i\leq k. \end{cases}$$

Example 5.3.

$$\kappa_1\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \bullet & 1 \\ \bullet & 2 & \\ 1 & & \end{array}\right) = \begin{array}{cccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & 1 \\ \bullet & 2 & & \\ 1 & & & \end{array} \qquad \kappa_2\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \bullet & 1 \\ \bullet & 2 & \\ 1 & & \end{array}\right) = \begin{array}{cccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & 1 \\ \bullet & \bullet & 2 & \\ 1 & & & \end{array}$$

For $k\geq 1$ and $\lambda\in\mathscr{P}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_k &= \epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_k, \\ \omega_\lambda &= \lambda_1\epsilon_1+\lambda_2\epsilon_2+\cdots .\end{aligned}$$

Now, we have the following combinatorial interpretation of the embedding (2.2) in terms of *sliding* skew tableaux horizontally. It will play a crucial role in proving our main theorem.

Proposition 5.4. *For $\mu,\nu\in\mathscr{P}$ and $k\geq 1$, we have the following commutative diagram of $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystal morphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{B}_\mu\otimes\mathcal{B}_\nu^\vee \arrow[r, "\iota^{\omega_k}_{\omega_\mu,\omega_\nu}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_{\mu,\nu}"'] & \mathcal{B}_{\mu+(1^k)}\otimes\mathcal{B}^\vee_{\nu+(1^k)} \arrow[d, "\psi_{\mu+(1^k),\nu+(1^k)}"] \\
\bigsqcup_\lambda \mathcal{B}^\vee_{\nu/\lambda}\otimes\mathcal{B}_{\mu/\lambda} \arrow[r, "\kappa_k^\vee\otimes\kappa_k"] & \bigsqcup_\eta \mathcal{B}^\vee_{(\nu+(1^k))/\eta}\otimes\mathcal{B}_{(\mu+(1^k))/\eta}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\iota^{\omega_k}_{\omega_\mu,\omega_\nu}$ is the canonical embedding in (2.2) and $\kappa_k^\vee = \vee\circ\kappa_k\circ\vee$.

Proof. Let $S\otimes T\in \mathcal{B}_\mu\otimes\mathcal{B}_\nu^\vee$ be given. We keep the previous notations. Note that

$$S\otimes u_{\omega_k}=S\otimes H_{(1^k)}\equiv S\{k\}:=(1\cdots k\to\ S)\in\mathcal{B}_{\mu+(1^k)},$$

$$u_{-\omega_k}\otimes T=H^\vee_{(1^k)}\otimes T\equiv T\{k\}:=\big(1\cdots k\to\ T^\vee\big)^\vee\in\mathcal{B}^\vee_{\nu+(1^k)}.$$

Hence by (2.2) we have $\iota^{\omega_k}_{\omega_\mu,\omega_\nu}(S\otimes T)=S\{k\}\otimes T\{k\}$. Since $S\{k\}\otimes T\{k\}\equiv S\otimes T$, we have

$$(U\{k\},V\{k\}):=((\emptyset,T\{k\})\to(S\{k\},\emptyset))\equiv((\emptyset,T)\to(S,\emptyset))=(U,V),$$

which implies that $(U\{k\},V\{k\})=(U,V)$ by [12, Lemma 5.1]. Put

$$W\{k\}=((\emptyset,T\{k\})\to(S\{k\},\emptyset))_R\,,$$

and suppose that

$$W\{k\}\longleftrightarrow(W_1\{k\},W_2\{k\})\in\mathbf{LR}^{\mu+(1^k)}_{\sigma\lambda+(1^k)}\times\mathbf{LR}^{\nu+(1^k)}_{\tau\lambda+(1^k)}.$$

Since W is invariant under $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ $(i\geq 1)$, we may assume that $(U,V)=(H^{>0}_\sigma,E^{>0}_\tau(n))$ for a sufficiently large $n>k$ (see (4.2)). As a $\mathfrak{gl}_{[s]}$-crystal element, (U,V) is a highest weight element, and $\sigma_n^p(U,V)=H^{>0}_\zeta$, where $p\geq\tau_1$ and $\zeta=\sigma+(p-\tau_{n-i+1})_{i\geq1}$ (see [12, Section 4.1] for the definition of the map σ_n). This also implies that $S=H^{>0}_\mu$. By [22, Lemma 7.6], $W\{k\}$ is obtained from

$$\sigma_n^{-p}\Big[\big(\sigma_n^p(\emptyset,T\{k\})\to(S\{k\},\emptyset)\big)_R\Big]\tag{5.3}$$

by 180°-rotation and ignoring $\vee$'s in the entries. Since $S\{k\}=H^{>0}_{\mu+(1^k)}$, we have $\big(\sigma_n^p(\emptyset,T\{k\})\to(S\{k\},\emptyset)\big)_R=\sigma_n^p(\emptyset,T\{k\})$. Now, it is straightforward to check that

$$W\{k\}=\begin{matrix}1\\ \vdots\\ k\end{matrix}\ *\kappa_k(W).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}W_1\{k\}&=W_1*\Sigma_k,\\ W_2\{k\}&=W_2*\Sigma'_k,\end{aligned}$$

where Σ_k and Σ'_k are vertical strips of shape $(\mu+(1^k))/\mu$ and $(\nu+(1^k))/\nu$ filled with $1,\ldots,k$, respectively. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{U}*W_1\{k\}=\widetilde{U}*W_1*\Sigma_k&\rightsquigarrow H_\lambda*X*\Sigma_k &&(\text{switching }\widetilde{U}\text{ and }W_1)\\ &\rightsquigarrow H_{\lambda+(1^k)}*\kappa_k(X) &&(\text{switching }X\text{ and }\Sigma_k),\\ \widetilde{V}*W_2\{k\}=\widetilde{V}*W_2*\Sigma'_k&\rightsquigarrow H_\lambda*Y*\Sigma'_k &&(\text{switching }\widetilde{V}\text{ and }W_2)\\ &\rightsquigarrow H_{\lambda+(1^k)}*\kappa_k(Y) &&(\text{switching }Y\text{ and }\Sigma'_k).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\mu+(1^k),\nu+(1^k)}(\iota^{\omega_k}_{\omega_\mu,\omega_\nu}(S\otimes T)) &= \psi_{\mu+(1^k),\nu+(1^k)}(S\{k\}\otimes T\{k\}))\\ &= \kappa_k(Y)^\vee\otimes\kappa_k(X)\\ &= \kappa_k^\vee\otimes\kappa_k\left(\psi_{\mu,\nu}(S\otimes T)\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

5.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ matrices $A=(a_{ij})$ such that $a_{ij}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\sum_{i,j\geq 1}a_{ij}<\infty$. Let $A=(a_{ij})\in\mathcal{M}$ be given. For $i\geq 1$, the i-th row $A_i=(a_{ij})_{j\geq 1}$ is naturally identified with a unique semistandard tableau in $\mathcal{B}_{(m_i)}$, where $m_i=\sum_{j\geq 1}a_{ij}$ and $\mathrm{wt}\,(A_i)=\sum_{j\geq 1}a_{ij}\epsilon_j$. Hence A can be viewed as an element in $\mathcal{B}_{(m_1)}\otimes\ldots\otimes\mathcal{B}_{(m_r)}$ for some $r\geq 0$. This defines a $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystal structure on $\mathcal{M}$. Now, we put

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}=\mathcal{M}^\vee\times\mathcal{M}, \tag{5.4}$$

which can be viewed as a tensor product of $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystals. Let $\mathcal{P}=\bigoplus_{i\geq 1}\mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$ be the integral weight lattice for $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$. For $\omega\in\mathcal{P}$, let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\omega=\{\,(M^\vee,N)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\,|\,\mathrm{wt}(N^t)-\mathrm{wt}(M^t)=\omega\,\}.$$

Here A^t denotes the transpose of $A\in\mathcal{M}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\omega$ is a subcrystal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Now, we can state the main result in this section.

Theorem 5.5. *For $\omega\in\mathcal{P}$, we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\omega\simeq\mathbf{B}(\infty)\otimes T_\omega\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\infty).$$

Proof. Let $\mu,\nu\in\mathscr{P}$ be such that $\omega=\omega_\mu-\omega_\nu$. Suppose that $\psi_{\mu,\nu}(S\otimes T)=Y^\vee\otimes X$ for $S\otimes T\in\mathcal{B}_\mu\otimes\mathcal{B}_\nu^\vee$, where $\psi_{\mu,\nu}$ is the isomorphism in Proposition 5.1. Let $M=(m_{ij})$ (resp. $N=(n_{ij})$) be the unique matrix in $\mathcal{M}$ such that the i-th row of M (resp. N) is $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-equivalent to the i-th row of Y (resp. X). Since $\sum_{j\geq 1}m_{ij}$ (resp. $\sum_{j\geq 1}n_{ij}$) is equal to x_i (resp. y_i) the number of dots or boxes in the i-th row of X (resp. Y) for $i\geq 1$ and $\omega=\sum_{i\geq 1}(x_i-y_i)\epsilon_i$ by Proposition 5.1, we have $\mathrm{wt}(N^t)-\mathrm{wt}(M^t)=\omega$. Then we define

$$\iota'_{\mu,\nu}:\mathcal{B}_\mu\otimes\mathcal{B}_\nu^\vee\longrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\omega$$

by $\iota'_{\mu,\nu}(S\otimes T)=(M^\vee,N)$. By Proposition 5.1, it is easy to see that $\iota'_{\mu,\nu}$ is an embedding and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\omega=\bigcup_{\substack{\mu,\nu\in\mathscr{P}\\ \omega_\mu-\omega_\nu=\omega}}\mathrm{Im}\iota'_{\mu,\nu}.$$

For $k \geq 1$, we have $\iota'_{\mu,\nu} = \iota'_{\mu+(1^k),\nu+(1^k)} \circ \iota^{\omega_k}_{\omega_\mu,\omega_\nu}$ by Proposition 5.4. Using induction, we have

$$\iota'_{\mu,\nu} = \iota'_{\mu+\xi,\nu+\xi} \circ \iota^{\omega_\xi}_{\omega_\mu,\omega_\nu} \quad (\xi \in \mathscr{P}).$$

Therefore, by (2.3), it follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\omega \simeq \mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_\omega \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty)$. □

Corollary 5.6. *As a $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystal, we have*

$$\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0})) \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Proof. It follows from $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \bigsqcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{P}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\omega$. □

For $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $i \geq 1$, we also define

$$\widetilde{e}^t_i A = \left(\widetilde{e}_i A^t\right)^t, \quad \widetilde{f}^t_i A = \left(\widetilde{f}_i A^t\right)^t. \tag{5.5}$$

Then $\mathcal{M}$ has another $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystal structure with respect to $\widetilde{e}^t_i$, $\widetilde{f}^t_i$ and wt^t, where $\mathrm{wt}^t(A) = \mathrm{wt}(A^t)$. By [3], $\mathcal{M}$ is a $(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}, \mathfrak{gl}_{>0})$-bicrystal, that is, $\widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{f}_i$ on $\mathcal{M} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ commute with $\widetilde{e}^t_j, \widetilde{f}^t_j$ for $i, j \geq 1$, and so is the tensor product $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M}^\vee \times \mathcal{M}$. Now we have the following Peter-Weyl type decomposition.

Corollary 5.7. *As a $(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}, \mathfrak{gl}_{>0})$-bicrystal, we have*

$$\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0})) \simeq \bigsqcup_{\mu,\nu \in \mathscr{P}} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\nu} \times \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\nu}.$$

Proof. Note that the usual RSK correspondence gives an isomorphism of $(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}, \mathfrak{gl}_{>0})$-bicrystals $\mathcal{M} \simeq \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathscr{P}} \mathcal{B}_\lambda \times \mathcal{B}_\lambda$. We assume that $\widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{f}_i$ act on the first component, and $\widetilde{e}^t_j, \widetilde{f}^t_j$ act on the second component. The decomposition of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}))$ follows from Proposition 4.7. □

6. EXTREMAL WEIGHT CRYSTALS OF TYPE A_∞

In this section, we describe the tensor product of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystals $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda')$ for $\Lambda, \Lambda' \in P^+$ in terms of extremal weight crystals.

6.1. For a skew Young diagram λ/μ, we put

$$\mathbf{B}_{\lambda/\mu} = SST_{\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda/\mu), \tag{6.1}$$

and we identify $\mathbf{B}^\vee_{\lambda/\mu}$ with $SST_{\mathbb{Z}^\vee}((\lambda/\mu)^\vee)$. Note that for $\mu \in \mathscr{P}$, $\mathbf{B}_\mu$ has neither highest nor lowest weight vector. It is shown in [13] that for $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}$, $\mathbf{B}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{B}^\vee_\nu$ is connected, $\mathbf{B}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{B}^\vee_\nu \simeq \mathbf{B}^\vee_\nu \otimes \mathbf{B}_\mu$, and $\mathbf{B}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{B}^\vee_\nu \simeq \mathbf{B}_\sigma \otimes \mathbf{B}^\vee_\tau$ if and only if $(\mu, \nu) = (\sigma, \tau)$. Put

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mu,\nu} = \mathbf{B}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{B}^\vee_\nu. \tag{6.2}$$

Note that $\mathbf{B}_{\mu,\nu}$ can be viewed as a limit of $\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu}$ $(r \to -\infty)$ since $\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\mu,\nu} \simeq (\mathbf{B}^{>r}_\nu)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{B}^{>r}_\mu$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}^n_+ = \{\,\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \,|\, \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n\,\}$ be the set of generalized partitions of length n. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$, we put

$$\Lambda_\lambda = \Lambda_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + \Lambda_{\lambda_n} \in P^+_n.$$

Theorem 6.1 (Theorem 4.6 in [13]). *For $\Lambda \in P_n$ $(n \geq 0)$, there exist unique $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^+_n$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{B}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathbf{B}_{\mu,\nu} \otimes \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\lambda).$$

Here we assume that $\Lambda_\lambda = 0$ when $n = 0$.

Note that $\{\mathbf{B}_{\mu,\nu} \otimes \mathbf{B}(\Lambda) \,|\, \Lambda \in P^+,\ \mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}\,\}$ forms a complete list of extremal weight crystals of non-negative level up to isomorphism [13, Proposition 3.12].

6.2. For intervals I, J in $\mathbb{Z}$, let $M_{I,J}$ be the set of $I \times J$ matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ with $a_{ij} \in \{\,0, 1\,\}$. We denote by A_i the i-th row of A for $i \in I$.

Suppose that $A \in M_{I,J}$ is given. For $k \in J^\circ$ and $i \in I$, we define

$$\widetilde{f}_k A_i = \begin{cases} A_i - E_{ik} + E_{ik+1}, & \text{if } (a_{ik}, a_{i\,k+1}) = (1, 0), \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

Then we can regard A_i as an element of a regular $\mathfrak{gl}_{\{k,k+1\}}$-crystal with highest weight $\omega \in \{\,0, \epsilon_k, \epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k+1}\,\}$. Consider the sequence $(\varepsilon_k(A_i))_{i\in I}$. We say that A *is k-admissible* if there exist $L, L' \in I$ such that (1) $\varepsilon_k(A_i) \neq 1$ for all $i < L$, and (2) $\varepsilon_k(A_i) \neq -1$ for all $i > L'$. Note that if I is finite, then A is k-admissible for all $k \in J$. Suppose that A is k-admissible. Then we can define $\widetilde{f}_k A$ by regarding A as $\overrightarrow{\bigotimes}_{i\in I} A_i$ and applying tensor product rule of crystal, where the index i in the tensor product is increasing from left to right.

Let $\rho : M_{I,J} \longrightarrow M_{-J,I}$ be a bijection given by $\rho(A) = (a_{-j,i}) \in M_{-J,I}$, where $-J = \{\,-j \,|\, j \in J\,\}$. For $l \in I^\circ$, we say that A is *l-admissible* if $\rho(A)$ is l-admissible in the above sense. If A is l-admissible, then we define

$$\widetilde{E}_l(A) = \rho^{-1}\left(\widetilde{e}_l\, \rho(A)\right), \quad \widetilde{F}_l(A) = \rho^{-1}\left(\widetilde{f}_l\, \rho(A)\right). \tag{6.4}$$

If A is both k-admissible and l-admissible for some $l \in I^\circ$ and $k \in J^\circ$, then

$$\widetilde{x}_k \widetilde{X}_l A = \widetilde{X}_l \widetilde{x}_k A, \tag{6.5}$$

where $x = e, f$ and $X = E, F$ [13, Lemma 3.1].

For convenience, let us say that A *is J-admissible* (resp. *I-admissible*) if A is k-admissible (resp. l-admissible) for all $k \in J^\circ$ (resp. $l \in I^\circ$). Suppose that A is J-admissible and l-admissible for some $l \in I^\circ$. Then both A and $\widetilde{X}_l A$ generate the same J-colored oriented graphs with respect to $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in J^\circ$ whenever

$\widetilde{X}_l A \neq \mathbf{0}$ ($X = E, F$) [13, Lemma 3.2]. A similar fact holds when A is I-admissible and k-admissible for some $k \in J^\circ$.

If I and J are finite, then $M_{I,J}$ is a $(\mathfrak{gl}_I, \mathfrak{gl}_J)$-bicrystal, where the $\mathfrak{gl}_I$-weight (resp. $\mathfrak{gl}_J$-weight) of $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{I,J}$ is given by $\sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J} a_{ij}\epsilon_i$ (resp. $\sum_{j\in J}\sum_{i\in I} a_{ij}\epsilon_j$).

6.3. For $n \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{E}^n$ be the subset of $M_{[n],\mathbb{Z}}$ consisting of matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ such that $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} < \infty$. It is clear that A is $\mathbb{Z}$-admissible for $A \in \mathcal{E}^n$. If we define $\mathrm{wt}(A) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{i\in[n]} a_{ij}\right)\epsilon_j$, then $\mathcal{E}^n$ is a regular $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystal with respect to $\widetilde{e}_k, \widetilde{f}_k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) and wt. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$ with $\lambda_1 \leq n$, let $A^\circ_\lambda(r) = (a^\circ_{ij}) \in \mathcal{E}^n$ and $A^\diamond_\lambda(r) = (a^\diamond_{ij}) \in \mathcal{E}^n$ be such that for $i \in [n]$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} &a^\circ_{ij} = 1 \iff 1 + r \leq j \leq \lambda'_{n-i+1} + r, \\ &a^\diamond_{ij} = 1 \iff r - \lambda'_i + 1 \leq j \leq r. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Then $C(A^*_\lambda(r)) \simeq \mathbf{B}_\lambda$ ($* = \circ, \diamond$).

For $n \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{F}^n$ be the set of matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ in $M_{[n],\mathbb{Z}}$ such that for each $i \in [n]$, $a_{ij} = 1$ if $j \ll 0$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ if $j \gg 0$. Note that A is $\mathbb{Z}$-admissible for $A \in \mathcal{F}^n$. If we define $\mathrm{wt}(A) = n\Lambda_0 + \sum_{j>0}\sum_{i\in[n]} a_{ij}\epsilon_j + \sum_{j\leq 0}\sum_{i\in[n]}(a_{ij} - 1)\epsilon_j$, then $\mathcal{F}^n$ is a regular $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystal with respect to $\widetilde{e}_k, \widetilde{f}_k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) and wt. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$, let $A^\circ_\lambda = (a^\circ_{ij}) \in \mathcal{F}^n$ and $A^\diamond_\lambda = (a^\diamond_{ij}) \in \mathcal{F}^n$ be such that for $i \in [n]$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} &a^\circ_{ij} = 1 \iff j \leq \lambda_{n-i+1}, \\ &a^\diamond_{ij} = 1 \iff j \leq \lambda_i. \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

Then $C(A^*_\lambda) \simeq \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\lambda)$ ($* = \circ, \diamond$).

On the other hand, for $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{E}^n$ or $\mathcal{F}^n$, A is $[n]$-admissible. Hence, $\widetilde{E}_l$ and $\widetilde{F}_l$ ($l = 1, \ldots, n-1$) commute with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$).

For $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{E}^n$ or $\mathcal{F}^n$, we will identify its dual crystal element $A^\vee$ with the matrix $(1 - a_{ij})$ since $A^\vee$ and $(1 - a_{ij})$ generate the same $\mathbb{Z}$-colored graph.

6.4. Let m, n be non-negative integers with $m \geq n$. In the rest of this section, we fix $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^m_+$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$. We assume that $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu) = C(A^\circ_\mu) \subset \mathcal{F}^m$, $\mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu) = C((A^\diamond_\nu)^\vee) \subset (\mathcal{F}^n)^\vee$ and hence

$$\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu) \subset \mathcal{F}^m \otimes (\mathcal{F}^n)^\vee \subset M_{[m+n],\mathbb{Z}}.$$

By [13, Proposition 4.5], $\mathcal{F}^m \otimes (\mathcal{F}^n)^\vee$ is a disjoint union of extremal weight $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystals of level $m - n$, and hence so is $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu)$.

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $\mathbf{B}^{>r}(\mu, \nu)$ to be the set of $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{[m+n],\mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } i \in [n] \text{ and } j \leq r, \\ 0, & \text{for } i \in m + [n] \text{ and } j \leq r. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{B}^{>r+1}(\mu,\nu)\subset\mathbf{B}^{>r}(\mu,\nu),\\&\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu)\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu)=\bigcup_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbf{B}^{>r}(\mu,\nu).\end{aligned}$$

Let r be such that $r<\min\{\mu_m,\nu_n\}$ so that $\mu-(r^m)=(\mu_i-r)_{1\leq i\leq m}$ and $\nu-(r^n)=(\nu_i-r)_{1\leq i\leq m}$ are partitions. Then $\mathbf{B}^{>r}(\mu,\nu)\neq\emptyset$ and as a $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystal,

$$\mathbf{B}^{>r}(\mu,\nu)\simeq\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{(\mu-(r^m))'}\otimes\left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{(\nu-(r^n))'}\right)^\vee. \tag{6.8}$$

Now, let $A\in\mathbf{B}^{>r}(\mu,\nu)$ be given and $C^{>r}(A)$ the connected component in $\mathbf{B}^{>r}(\mu,\nu)$ including A as a $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystal. By Proposition 4.6, we have

$$C^{>r}(A)\simeq\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\sigma,\tau}$$

for some $\sigma,\tau\in\mathscr{P}$ with $\sigma_1\leq m$ and $\tau_1\leq n$. Let $C(A)$ be the connected component in $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu)\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu)$ including A as a $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystal. Then

$$C(A)\simeq\mathbf{B}_{\zeta,\eta}\otimes\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\xi)$$

for some $\zeta,\eta\in\mathscr{P}$ and $\xi\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{m-n}$ by Theorem 6.1.

Lemma 6.2. *Under the above hypothesis, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta=(\sigma'_{m-n+1},\ldots,\sigma'_m)',\\&\eta=\tau,\\&\xi=(\sigma'_1,\ldots,\sigma'_{m-n})+(r^{m-n}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let A be as above. For intervals $I,J\subset\mathbb{Z}$, let $A_{I,J}$ denote the $I\times J$-submatrix of A. Choose $s\gg r$ so that

$$a_{ij}=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } i\in[m] \text{ and } j>s,\\ 1, & \text{if } i\in m+[n] \text{ and } j>s.\end{cases}$$

Note that A is $[m+n]$-admissible. Considering $A_{[m+n],[r+1,s]}$ as an element of a $(\mathfrak{gl}_{[r+1,s]},\mathfrak{gl}_{[m+n]})$-bicrystal, A is connected to a unique matrix $A'=(a'_{ij})$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases}a'_{ij}=a_{ij}, & \text{for } i\in[m+n] \text{ and } j\notin[r+1,s],\\ a'_{i-1\,j}=0, & \text{if } a'_{ij}=0 \text{ for } i\neq 1 \text{ and } j\in[r+1,s],\\ a'_{i\,j+1}=0, & \text{if } a'_{ij}=0 \text{ for } i\in[m+n] \text{ and } j+1\in[r+1,s].\end{cases}$$

Equivalently, A' is a $\mathfrak{gl}_{[r+1,s]}$-highest weight element and a $\mathfrak{gl}_{[m+n]}$-lowest weight element. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&C^{>r}(A'_{[m],\mathbb{Z}})\simeq\mathbf{B}^{>r}_\alpha,\\&C^{>r}(A'_{m+[n],\mathbb{Z}})\simeq\left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_\beta\right)^\vee,\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_k)_{k\geq 1}$ and $\beta = (\beta_k)_{k\geq 1} \in \mathscr{P}$ are given by $\alpha_k = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a'_{i,r+k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq s-r$ and $\beta_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(1-a'_{m+i,s-k+1})$ for $1 \leq k \leq s-r$. Since $A'_{[m+n],[r+1,\infty)}$ is $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-equivalent to $H_\alpha^{>r} \otimes E_\beta^{>r}(s)$ (see (4.2)), we have $C^{>r}(A') \simeq \mathbf{B}_{\alpha,\beta}^{>r}$ by Theorem 4.1 and hence $(\alpha,\beta) = (\sigma,\tau)$ since $C^{>r}(A') \simeq C^{>r}(A) \simeq \mathbf{B}_{\sigma,\tau}^{>r}$.

Let $A'' = (a''_{ij}) \in M_{[m+n],\mathbb{Z}}$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&A''_{[n],\mathbb{Z}} = A^{\circ}_{\zeta}(r) \in \mathcal{E}^n,\\
&A''_{n+[n],\mathbb{Z}} = \left(A^{\diamond}_{\eta}(s)\right)^{\vee} \in (\mathcal{E}^n)^{\vee},\\
&A''_{2n+[m-n],\mathbb{Z}} = A^{\circ}_{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}^{m-n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\zeta = (\sigma'_{m-n+1},\ldots,\sigma'_m)'$ and $\eta = \tau'$ and $\xi = (\sigma'_1,\ldots,\sigma'_{m-n}) + (r^{m-n})$ (see (6.6) and (6.7)). Then for $L \ll 0 \ll L'$, we have

$$
S_{w'}S_w(A'_{[m+n],[L,L']}) = A''_{[m+n],[L,L']},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
w &= (r_{n-2}\cdots r_1)\cdots(r_{m+n-2}\cdots r_{m-1})\,(r_{m+n-1}\cdots r_m)\,,\\
w' &= (r_{2n}\cdots r_{m+n})\cdots(r_{n+2}\cdots r_{m+2})\,(r_{n+1}\cdots r_{m+1})\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

and S_w, $S_{w'}$ are the corresponding operators on a regular $\mathfrak{gl}_{[m+n]}$-crystal $M_{[m+n],[L,L']}$ with respect to $\widetilde{E}_i$, $\widetilde{F}_i$'s. This implies that A' is $\mathfrak{gl}_{[L,L']}$-equivalent to A''. Since L and L' are arbitrary, A' is $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-equivalent to A''. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C(A''_{[2n],\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,\eta},\\
&C(A''_{2n+[m-n],\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\xi),
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
C(A) \simeq C(A') \simeq C(A'') \simeq \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,\eta} \otimes \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\xi).
$$

This completes the proof. □

For $\zeta,\eta \in \mathscr{P}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{m-n}$, let $m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r)$ be the number of connected components C in $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu)\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu)$ such that

(1) $C \cap \mathbf{B}^{>r}(\mu,\nu) \neq \emptyset$,
(2) $C \simeq \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,\eta} \otimes \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\xi)$.

Corollary 6.3. *Under the above hypothesis,*

(1) *if* $\xi_{m-n} < r$, *then* $m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r) = 0$,
(2) *if* $\xi_{m-n} \geq r$, *then*

$$
m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r) = c^{((\mu-(r^m))',(\nu-(r^n))')}_{(\sigma,\eta)},
$$

where $\sigma = [(\xi-(r^{m-n}))\cup\zeta']'$.

Proof. It follows from (6.8) and Lemma 6.2. ☐

The following lemma shows that $m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r)$ stabilizes as $r \to -\infty$.

Lemma 6.4. *For $\zeta, \eta \in \mathscr{P}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{m-n}_{+}$, there exists $r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r) = m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r_0),$$

for $r \leq r_0$.

Proof. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $r \leq \min\{\mu_m, \nu_n\}$, put

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\mathscr{P}} \mathbf{LR}^{(\mu-(r^m))'}_{\sigma\lambda} \times \mathbf{LR}^{(\nu-(r^n))'}_{\eta\,\lambda},$$

where $\sigma = [(\xi - (r^{m-n})) \cup \zeta']'$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r-1) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\mathscr{P}} \mathbf{LR}^{(\mu-(r^m))'\cup\{m\}}_{\overline{\sigma}\ \lambda\cup\{n\}} \times \mathbf{LR}^{(\nu-(r^n))'\cup\{n\}}_{\eta\ \lambda\cup\{n\}},$$

where $\overline{\sigma} = [(\xi - (r^{m-n}) + (1^{m-n})) \cup \zeta']'$.

By Proposition 4.6 and Corollary 6.3, we have

$$\left|\mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r)\right| = c^{((\mu-(r^m))',(\nu-(r^n))')}_{(\sigma,\eta)}(r) = m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r).$$

For a sufficiently small r, we define a map

$$\theta_r : \mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r-1).$$

as follows;

STEP 1. Suppose that $S_1 \in \mathbf{LR}^{(\mu-(r^m))'}_{\sigma\lambda}$ is given. Put $\ell = \xi_{m-n} - r$.

Define T_1 to be the tableau in $\mathbf{LR}^{(\mu-(r^m))'\cup\{m\}}_{\overline{\sigma}\ \lambda\cup\{n\}}$, which is obtained from S_1 as follows;

1. The entries of T_1 in the i-th row ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) is equal to those in S_1.
2. The entries of T_1 in the $(\ell+1)$-st row is given by
$$a_1 + 1 \leq a_2 + 1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n + 1,$$
where $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$ are the entries in the ℓth row in S_1.
3. Let S_1' (resp. T_1') be the subtableau of S_1 (resp. T_1) consisting of its i th row for $\ell < i$ (resp. $\ell + 1 < i$). Then we define
$$T_1'(p+1,q) = \begin{cases} S_1'(p,q), & \text{if } S_1'(p,q) \leq a_1, \\ S_1'(p,q)+1, & \text{if } S_1'(p,q) > a_1, \end{cases}$$
for (p,q) in the shape of S_1.

Step 2. Let $S_2 \in \mathbf{LR}^{(\nu-(r^n))'}_{\eta\lambda}$ be given. Applying the same argument as in Step 1 (when $m = n$). we obtain $T_2 \in \mathbf{LR}^{(\nu-(r^n))'\cup\{n\}}_{\eta(\lambda'+(1^n))'}$. Now we define

$$\theta_r(S_1, S_2) = (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r-1).$$

By definition of θ_r, it is not difficult to see that θ_r is one-to-one. Also, we observe that for $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$

$$\mathbf{LR}^{(\mu-(r^m))'}_{\sigma\lambda} \times \mathbf{LR}^{(\nu-(r^n))'}_{\eta\lambda} \neq \emptyset \iff \mathbf{LR}^{(\mu-(r^m))'\cup\{m\}}_{\sigma\ \lambda\cup\{n\}} \times \mathbf{LR}^{(\nu-(r^n))'\cup\{n\}}_{\eta\ \lambda\cup\{n\}} \neq \emptyset.$$

If r is sufficiently small, then we have $(n) \subset \lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$ such that $\mathbf{LR}^{(\mu-(r^m))'\cup\{m\}}_{\sigma\lambda} \times \mathbf{LR}^{(\nu-(r^n))'\cup\{n\}}_{\eta\lambda} \neq \emptyset$, which implies that θ_r is onto. Therefore, θ_r is a bijection and $m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r)$ stabilizes as $r \to -\infty$. □

Theorem 6.5. *Suppose that $m \geq n$. For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^m_+$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$, we have*

$$\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu) \simeq \bigsqcup_{\substack{\zeta,\eta\in\mathscr{P} \\ \zeta_1,\eta_1\leq n}} \bigsqcup_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^{m-n}_+} \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,\eta} \otimes \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\xi)^{\oplus m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}}$$

with

$$m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)} = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathscr{P}} c^{\mu+(k^m)}_{\sigma\lambda} c^{\nu+(k^n)}_{\eta\,\lambda},$$

where k is a sufficiently large integer and $\sigma = (\xi + (k^{m-n})) \cup \zeta'$.

Proof. For $\zeta, \eta \in \mathscr{P}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{m-n}_+$, let $m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}$ be the number of connected components in $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu)$ isomorphic to $\mathbf{B}_{\zeta,\eta} \otimes \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\xi)$. Then by Lemma 6.4, we have

$$m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)} = m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)}(r)$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Corollary 4.6 and Corollary 6.3, we have

$$m^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\zeta,\eta,\xi)} = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathscr{P}} c^{\mu+(k^m)}_{\sigma\lambda} c^{\nu+(k^n)}_{\eta\lambda},$$

where $k = -r$ and $\sigma = (\xi + (k^{m-n})) \cup \zeta$. □

The decomposition when $m \leq n$ can be obtained by taking the dual crystal of the decomposition in Theorem 6.5.

7. Combinatorial description of the Level zero part of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty))$

7.1. For $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ $(n \geq 1)$, let us describe the decomposition of $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu)$ in a bijective way. We assume that $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu) = C(A^\circ_\mu) \subset \mathcal{F}^m$, $\mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu) = C((A^\circ_\nu)^\vee) \subset (\mathcal{F}^n)^\vee$

Suppose that $A \in \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu)$ and $A' \in \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu)$ are given. Choose $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A \otimes A' \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}(\mu,\nu)$. Let $S^{>r} \otimes T^{>r} \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{(\mu-(r^m))'} \otimes \left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{(\nu-(r^n))'}\right)^\vee$ correspond to

$A \otimes A'$ under (6.8). Note that the set of entries in the i-th column of $S^{>r}$ (from the right) is $\{\, j \,|\, a_{ij} = 1,\ j > r \,\}$, and the set of entries in the i-th column of $T^{>r}$ (from the right) is $\{\, j^\vee \,|\, a_{ij} = 0,\ j > r \,\}$. Now we define

$$\psi^{\infty}_{\mu,\nu}(A \otimes A') = \psi^{>r}_{(\mu-(r^m))',(\nu-(r^n))'}(S^{>r} \otimes T^{>r}), \tag{7.1}$$

where $\psi^{>r}_{(\mu-(r^m))',(\nu-(r^n))'}$ denotes the map in Proposition 5.1 corresponding to $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r}$-crystals.

Proposition 7.1. *For $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$, the map*

$$\psi^{\infty}_{\mu,\nu} : \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu) \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\alpha,\beta} \mathbf{B}^\vee_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{B}_\beta$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystals, where the union is over all skew Young diagrams α and β such that $\alpha = (\nu - (r^n))'/\lambda$ and $\beta = (\mu - (r^n))'/\lambda$ for some $r \leq \min\{\mu_n, \nu_n\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\psi^{\infty}_{\mu,\nu}(A \otimes A')$ does not depend on the choice of r. Keeping the above notations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (U^{>r}, V^{>r}) &= \big((\emptyset, T^{>r}) \to (S^{>r}, \emptyset)\big) \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{\sigma,\tau}, \\ W^{>r} &= \big((\emptyset, T^{>r}) \to (S^{>r}, \emptyset)\big)_R \in \mathcal{C}^{((\mu-(r^n))',(\nu-(r^n))')}_{(\sigma,\tau)}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\sigma, \tau \in \mathscr{P}$. By Proposition 4.7, there exist unique $\widetilde{U}^{>r} \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}_\sigma$ and $\widetilde{V}^{>r} \in (\mathbf{B}^{>r}_\tau)^\vee$ such that $\widetilde{V}^{>r} \otimes \widetilde{U}^{>r} \equiv (U^{>r}, V^{>r})$, and by Proposition 4.4

$$W^{>r} \longleftrightarrow (W^{>r}_1, W^{>r}_2) \in \mathbf{LR}^{(\mu-(r^n))'}_{\sigma\lambda} \times \mathbf{LR}^{(\nu-(r^n))'}_{\tau\lambda}$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$. Then by definition of $\psi^{>r}_{(\mu-(r^n))',(\nu-(r^n))'}$, we have

$$\psi^{\infty}_{\mu,\nu}(A \otimes A') = Y^\vee \otimes X \in \mathbf{B}^\vee_{(\nu-(r^n))'/\lambda} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{(\mu-(r^n))'/\lambda},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \jmath(X) &= \widetilde{U}^{>r}, \quad \jmath(X)_R = W^{>r}_1, \\ \jmath(Y)^\vee &= \widetilde{V}^{>r}, \quad \jmath(Y)_R = W^{>r}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that

$$S^{>r-1} \otimes T^{>r-1} \in \mathbf{B}^{>r}_{(\mu-(r^n))' \cup \{n\}} \otimes \left(\mathbf{B}^{>r}_{(\nu-(r^n))' \cup \{n\}}\right)^\vee$$

is $\mathfrak{gl}_{>r-1}$-equivalent to $A \otimes A'$. Then

$$S^{>r-1} = (\underbrace{r-1 \cdots r-1}_{n}) * S^{>r}, \quad T^{>r-1} = T^{>r} * (\underbrace{(r-1)^\vee \cdots (r-1)^\vee}_{n}),$$

and

$$\big((\emptyset, T^{>r-1}) \to (S^{>r-1}, \emptyset)\big) = \big((\emptyset, T^{>r}) \to (S^{>r}, \emptyset)\big) = (U^{>r}, V^{>r}).$$

This implies that $(U^{>r-1}, V^{>r-1}) = (U^{>r}, V^{>r})$.

Suppose that $W^{>r} = W_+^{>r} * W_-^{>r}$, where $W_+^{>r}$ (resp. $W_-^{>r}$) is the subtableau of $W^{>r}$ consisting of positive (resp. negative) entries. By definition of the insertion, it is straightforward to check that

(1) $W_-^{>r-1} = W_-^{>r}$,
(2) $W_+^{>r-1} = (\underbrace{\sigma'_n + 1 \cdots \sigma'_1 + 1}_{n}) * W_+^{>r}[1]$,

where $W_+^{>r}[1]$ is the tableau obtained from $W_+^{>r}$ by increasing each entry by 1. Since $\imath(W_+^{>r-1}) = W_1^{>r-1}$, we have

$$W_1^{>r-1} = \Sigma_n * W_1^{>r}[1],$$

where Σ_n is the horizontal strip of shape $\sigma \cup \{n\}/\sigma$ filled with 1, and $W_1^{>r}[1]$ is the tableau obtained from $W_1^{>r}$ by increasing each entry by 1. Here, we assume that the shape of $W_1^{>r}$ is $(\mu - (r^n))' \cup \{n\}/\sigma \cup \{n\}$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{U}^{>r} * W_1^{>r-1} &= \widetilde{U}^{>r} * \Sigma_n * W_1^{>r}[1] \\
&\rightsquigarrow (\underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{n}) * \widetilde{U}^{>r} * W_1^{>r}[1] \qquad (\text{switching } \widetilde{U}^{>r} \text{ and } \Sigma_n) \\
&\rightsquigarrow (\underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{n}) * H_\lambda[1] * X \qquad (\text{switching } \widetilde{U}^{>r} \text{ and } W_1^{>r}[1]) \\
&= H_{\lambda \cup \{n\}} * X.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that X does not depend on r. Similarly, we have

$$W_2^{>r-1} = \Sigma'_n * W_2^{>r}[1],$$

where Σ'_n is the horizontal strip of shape $\tau \cup \{n\}/\tau$ filled with 1, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{V}^{>r} * W_2^{>r-1} &= \widetilde{V}^{>r} * \Sigma'_n * W_2^{>r}[1] \\
&\rightsquigarrow (\underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{n}) * \widetilde{V}^{>r} * W_2^{>r}[1] \qquad (\text{switching } \widetilde{V}^{>r} \text{ and } \Sigma'_n) \\
&\rightsquigarrow (\underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{n}) * H_\lambda[1] * Y \qquad (\text{switching } \widetilde{V}^{>r} \text{ and } W_2^{>r}[1]) \\
&= H_{\lambda \cup \{n\}} * Y.
\end{aligned}$$

This also implies that Y does not depend on r. Therefore, $\psi^\infty_{\mu,\nu}$ is well-defined.

Since $\psi^\infty_{\mu,\nu}$ is one-to-one and commutes with $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$) by construction, it is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystals. □

Example 7.2. Let $\mu = (2,2,1)$ and $\nu = (3,2,1)$. Consider

$$A = \begin{array}{ccccccccccccc} & -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & & \\ \cdots & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdot & \bullet & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdots & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdot & \bullet & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & 2 \\ \cdots & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & 3 \end{array} \in \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu) \subset \mathcal{F}^3,$$

$$A' = \begin{array}{ccccccccccccc} & -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & & \\ \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \bullet & \cdot & \bullet & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \bullet & \cdot & \cdot & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & 2 \\ \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \bullet & \cdot & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & 3 \end{array} \in \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu) \subset \left(\mathcal{F}^3\right)^\vee,$$

where $\bullet$ and $\cdot$ denote 1 and 0 in matrix, respectively. Then $A \otimes A' \in \mathbf{B}^{>0}(\mu,\nu)$. Suppose that as a $\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}$-crystal element A (resp. A') is equivalent to $S^{>0}$ and $T^{>0}$. Then $S^{>0} = S$ and $T^{>0} = T$, where S and T are tableaux in Example 4.5. Hence, by Example 5.2 we have

$$\psi^{\infty}_{\mu,\nu}(A \otimes A') = \begin{array}{ccc} & & 4^\vee \\ & 2^\vee & \bullet \\ 1^\vee & \bullet & \bullet \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \bullet & 1 \\ \bullet & 1 & \end{array}.$$

7.2. Let us give an explicit description of $\mathbf{B}(\infty) \otimes T_\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\infty)$ for $\Lambda \in P_0$. For this, we define an analogue of (5.2) for $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystals. Suppose that $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ is given. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mu \cup \{k\}$ be the generalized partition in $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}_+$ given by rearranging $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n$ and k. For $r \leq \mu_n$, we assume that the columns in $(\mu - (r^n))' \in \mathscr{P}$ are enumerated from the left, and the row indices are enumerated by $r+1, r+2, \ldots$ from the top. For a skew Young diagram $\alpha = (\mu - (r^n))' / \lambda$ and and $S \in \mathbf{B}_\alpha$, we also denote by $S(i,j)$ the entry in S located in the i-th row and the j-th column. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $\kappa_k : SST_{\mathbb{Z}}(\alpha) \to SST_{\mathbb{Z}}(\kappa_k(\alpha))$, where $\kappa_k(\alpha) = \left((\mu \cup \{k\}) - (r^{n+1})\right)' / (\lambda + (1^{k-r}))$ and $\kappa_k(S) = S'$ is given by $S'(i,j) = S(i,j)$ if $i > k$, and $S(i, j-1)$ if $i \leq k$. We put $\kappa^\vee_k = \vee \circ \kappa_k \circ \vee$. If $k < r$, then we assume that $\alpha = (\mu - (s^n))' / \lambda + (n^{r-s})$ for $s \leq k$.

By applying the argument in Proposition 5.4 to Proposition 7.1 with a little modification, we obtain the following.

Proposition 7.3. *For $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ $(n \geq 1)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the following commutative diagram of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystal morphisms.*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_\nu) \arrow[r, "\iota^{\Lambda_k}_{\Lambda_\mu,\Lambda_\nu}"] \arrow[d, "\psi^{\infty}_{\mu,\nu}"'] & \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\mu + \Lambda_k) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-\Lambda_k - \Lambda_\nu) \arrow[d, "\psi^{\infty}_{\mu\cup\{k\},\nu\cup\{k\}}"] \\ \bigsqcup_{\alpha,\beta} \mathbf{B}^\vee_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{B}_\beta \arrow[r, "\kappa^\vee_k \otimes \kappa_k"] & \bigsqcup_{\gamma,\delta} \mathbf{B}^\vee_\gamma \otimes \mathbf{B}_\delta \end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mathbf{M}$ be the set of $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$ matrices $A=(a_{ij})$ such that $a_{ij}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{ij}<\infty$. Let $A=(a_{ij})\in\mathbf{M}$ be given. As in Section 5.2, we have a $(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty,\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)$-bicrystal structure on $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\widetilde{e}_i,\widetilde{f}_i$ and $\widetilde{e}^t_j,\widetilde{f}^t_j$ for $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$. Now, we put

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}=\mathbf{M}^\vee\times\mathbf{M},\\ &\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_\Lambda=\{\,(M^\vee,N)\in\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}\,|\,\mathrm{wt}(N^t)-\mathrm{wt}(M^t)=\Lambda\,\}\qquad(\Lambda\in P_0).\end{aligned}\tag{7.2}$$

Note that $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$ can be viewed as a tensor product of $(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty,\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)$-bicrystals and $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_\Lambda$ is a subcrystal of $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$ with respect to $\widetilde{e}_i,\widetilde{f}_i$. By Proposition 7.3, we have the following combinatorial realization, which is our second main result. The proof is almost the same as in Theorem 5.5.

Theorem 7.4. *For $\Lambda\in P_0$, we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_\Lambda\simeq\mathbf{B}(\infty)\otimes T_\Lambda\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\infty).$$

Let $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty))_0=\bigsqcup_{\Lambda\in P_0}\mathbf{B}(\infty)\otimes T_\Lambda\otimes\mathbf{B}(-\infty)$ be the level zero part of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty))$. Since $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}=\bigsqcup_{\Lambda\in P_0}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{M}\simeq\bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\mathscr{P}}\mathbf{B}_\lambda\times\mathbf{B}_\lambda$ as a $(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty,\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)$-bicrystal, we obtain the following immediately.

Corollary 7.5. *As a $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystal, we have*

$$\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty))_0\simeq\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}.$$

Corollary 7.6. *As a $(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty,\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)$-bicrystal, we have*

$$\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty))_0\simeq\bigsqcup_{\mu,\nu\in\mathscr{P}}\mathbf{B}_{\mu,\nu}\times\mathbf{B}_{\mu,\nu}.$$

In [1], Beck and Nakajima proved a Peter-Weyl type decomposition of the level zero part of $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ for a quantum affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of finite rank, where the bicrystal structure is given by star crystal structure, say $\widetilde{e}^*_i$ and $\widetilde{f}^*_i$, induced from the involution on $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, usually denoted by $*$ [8]. Based on some computation, we give the following conjecture.

Conjecture 7.7. *The crystal structure on $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{>0}))$ and $\mathbf{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty))_0$ of type $A_{+\infty}$ and A_∞ with respect to $\widetilde{e}^t_i$ and $\widetilde{f}^t_i$ is compatible with the dual of the $*$-crystal strucutre with respect to $\widetilde{e}^*_i$ and $\widetilde{f}^*_i$. That is, $\widetilde{e}^t_i=\widetilde{f}^*_i$ and $\widetilde{f}^t_i=\widetilde{e}^*_i$ for all i.*

References

[1] J. Beck, H. Nakajima, *Crystal bases and two-sided cells of quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), 335–402.

[2] G. Benkart, F. Sottile, J. Stroomer, *Tableau switching: algorithms and applications*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **76** (1996), 11–43.

[3] V. I. Danilov, G. A. Koshevoy, *Bi-crystals and crystal $(GL(V),GL(W))$ duality*, RIMS preprint, (2004) no. 1458.

[4] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 35. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[5] A. Henriques, J. Kamnitzer, *The octahedron recurrence and $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ crystals*, Adv. Math. **206** (2006), 211–249.

[6] A. Hoshino, T. Nakashima, *Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for modified quantum algebras of type A*, Comm. Algebra **33** (2005), no. 7, 2167–2191.

[7] M. Kashiwara, *Global crystal bases of quantum groups*, Duke Math. J. 69 (1993), no. 2, 455–485.

[8] M. Kashiwara, *Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebra*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), 383–413.

[9] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases*, Representations of groups, CMS Conf. Proc., 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1995), 155–197.

[10] M. Kashiwara, *On level-zero representations of quantized affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), 117–175.

[11] M. Kashiwara, T. Nakashima, *Crystal graphs for representations of the q-analogue of classical Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **165** (1994), 295–345.

[12] J.-H. Kwon, *Differential operators and crystals of extremal weight modules*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009), 1339-1369.

[13] J.-H. Kwon, *Crystal duality and Littlewood-Richardson rule of extremal weight crystals*, preprint (2009), arXivmathQA/0909.1126.

[14] B. Leclerc, J.-Y. Thibon, E. Vasserot, *Zelevinsky's involution at roots of unity*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **513** (1999), 33–51.

[15] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases in tensor products*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **89** (1992), no. 17, 8177–8179.

[16] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functuins and Hall polynomials*, Oxford University Press, 2nd ed., 1995.

[17] T. Nakashima, *Crystallized structure for level 0 part of modified quantum affine algebra $\widetilde{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$*, Compositio Math. **108** (1997), 1–33.

[18] T. Nakashima, *Crystallized Peter-Weyl type decomposition for level 0 part of modified quantum algebra $\widetilde{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})_0$*, J. Algebra **189** (1997), 150–186.

[19] T. Nakashima, A. Zelevinsky, *Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for quantized Kac-Moody algebras*, Adv. Math. **131** (1997), 253–278.

[20] M. Reineke, *On the coloured graph structure of Lusztig's canonical basis*, Math. Ann. **307** (1997), 705–723.

[21] J. R. Stembridge, *Rational tableaux and the tensor algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **46** (1987) 79–120.

[22] J. Stroomer, *Insertion and the multiplication of rational Schur functions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **65** (1994) 79–116.

Department of Mathematics, University of Seoul, Seoul 130-743, Korea
E-mail address: `jhkwon@uos.ac.kr`